

## **REMARKS**

Claims 15 to 18 are pending and under consideration.

The amendment to the specification merely updates the related application information and adds no new matter.

The Examiner objected to the specification as allegedly containing an informality. See Action at page 2, item 1. Specifically, the Examiner alleged that the continuation information must be updated to reflect abandonment of the parent '680 application. See *id.* Applicants have amended the specification to reflect the abandonment of the parent '680 application. That amendment should obviate the Examiner's objection.

The Examiner rejected claims 15 to 18 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of U.S. Patent No. 5,514,543 and over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,759,202. See *id.* at page 2, item 2. Solely to expedite prosecution and without acquiescing to the rejection, applicants enclose a Terminal Disclaimer and the required fee of \$130.00. Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the double patenting rejection.

Applicants respectfully assert that the application is in condition for allowance and request issuance of a Notice of Allowance. If the Examiner does not consider the application to be in condition for allowance, applicants request that he call the undersigned at (650) 849-6658 to set up an interview.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: April 24, 2006

By: 

Michael R. Albrecht  
Reg. No. 54,956  
Customer No. 22,852